Precision, Error, and Accuracy

A major component of the scientific inquiry process is the comparison of experimental results with predicted or accepted theoretical values. In conducting experiments, you must realize that all measurements have a maximum degree of certainty, beyond which there is uncertainty. The uncertainty, often referred to as "error," is not a result of a mistake, but rather, it is caused by the limitations of the equipment or the experimenter. The best scientist, using all possible care, could not measure the height of a doorway to a fraction of a millimetre accuracy using a metre stick. The uncertainty introduced through measurement must be communicated using specific vocabulary. Experimental results can be characterized by both their accuracy and their precision.

Precision describes the exactness and repeatabilty of a value or set of values. A set of data could be grouped very tightly, demonstrating good precision, but not necessarily be accurate. The darts in illustration (A) missed the bull's-eye and yet are tightly grouped, demonstrating precision without accuracy.



Differentiating between accuracy and precision

Accuracy describes the degree to which the result of an experiment or calculation approximates the true value. The darts in illustration (B) missed the bull's-eye in different directions, but are all relatively the same distance away from the centre. The darts demonstrate three throws that share approximately the same accuracy, with limited precision.

The darts in illustration (C) demonstrate accuracy and precision.

Random Error

- Random error results from small variations in measurements due to randomly changing conditions (weather, humidity, quality of equipment, level of care, etc.).
- Repeating trials will reduce but never eliminate random error.
- Random error is unbiased.

• Random error affects precision.

Systematic Error

- Systematic error results from consistent bias in observation.
- Repeating trials will not reduce systematic error.
- Three types of systematic error are natural error, instrument-calibration error, and personal error.
- Systematic error affects accuracy.

Error Analysis

Error exists in every measured or experimentally obtained value. The error could deal with extremely tiny values, such as wavelengths of light, or with large values, such as the distances between stars. A practical way to illustrate the error is to compare it to the specific data as a percentage.

Relative Uncertainty

Relative uncertainty calculations are used to determine the error introduced by the natural limitations of the equipment used to collect the data. For instance, measuring the width of your textbook will have a certain degree of error due to the quality of the equipment used. This error, called "estimated uncertainty," has been deemed by the scientific community to be half of the smallest division of the measuring device. A metre stick with only centimetres marked would have an error of ± 0.5 cm. A ruler that includes millimetre divisions would have a smaller error of ±0.5 mm. The measure should be recorded showing the estimated uncertainty, such as 21.00 ± 0.5 cm. Use the relative uncertainty equation to convert the estimated uncertainty into a percentage of the actual measured value.



Estimated uncertainty is accepted to be half of the smallest visible division. In this case, the estimated uncertainty is ± 0.5 mm for the top ruler and ± 0.5 cm for the bottom ruler.

relative uncertainty = $\frac{\text{estimated uncertainty}}{\text{actual measurement}} \times 100\%$

Example:

Converting the error represented by 21.00 ± 0.5 cm to a percentage relative uncertainty = $\frac{0.05 \text{ cm}}{21.00 \text{ cm}} \times 100\%$ relative uncertainty = 0.2%

Percent Deviation

In conducting experiments, it frequently is unreasonable to expect that accepted theoretical values can be verified, because of the limitations of available equipment. In such cases, percent deviation calculations are made. For instance, the standard value for acceleration due to gravity on Earth is 9.81 m/s² toward the centre of Earth in a vacuum. Conducting a crude experiment to verify this value might yield a value of 9.6 m/s^2 . This result deviates from the accepted standard value. It is not necessarily due to error. The deviation, as with most high school experiments, might be due to physical differences in the actual lab (for example, the experiment might not have been conducted in a vacuum). Therefore, deviation is not necessarily due to error, but could be the result of experimental conditions that should be explained as part of the error analysis. Use the percent deviation equation to determine how close the experimental results are to the accepted or theoretical value.

percent deviation =

 $\left| \frac{\text{experimental value} - \text{theoretical value}}{\text{theoretical value}} \right| \times 100\%$

Example:

percent deviation = $\frac{|9.6\frac{m}{s^2} - 9.8\frac{m}{s^2}|}{9.8\frac{m}{s^2}} \times 100\%$

percent deviation = 2%

Percent Difference

Experimental inquiry does not always involve an attempt at verifying a theoretical value. For instance, measurements made in determining the width of your textbook do not have a theoretical value based on a scientific theory. You still might want to know, however, how precise your measurements were. Suppose you measured the width 100 times and found that the smallest width measurement was 20.6 cm, the largest was 21.4 cm, and the average measurement of all 100 trials was 21.0 cm. The error contained in your ability to measure the width of the textbook can be estimated using the percent difference equation.

percent difference = maximum difference in measurements

Example:

percent difference = $\frac{(21.4 \text{ cm} - 20.6 \text{ cm})}{21.0 \text{ cm}} \times 100\%$ percent difference = 4%

SET 1 Skill Review

- In Sèvres, France, a platinum-iridium cylinder is kept in a vacuum under lock and key. It is the standard kilogram with mass 1.0000 kg. Imagine you were granted the opportunity to experiment with this special mass, and obtained the following data: 1.32 kg, 1.33 kg, and 1.31 kg. Describe your results in terms of precision and accuracy.
- **2.** You found that an improperly zeroed triplebeam balance affected the results obtained in question 1. If you used this balance for each measure, what type of error did it introduce?
- **3.** Describe a fictitious experiment with obvious random error.

- **4**. Describe a fictitious experiment with obvious systematic error.
- 5. (a) Using common scientific practice, find the estimated uncertainty of a stopwatch that displays up to a hundredth of a second.
 - (b) If you were to use the stopwatch in part (a) to time repeated events that lasted less than 2.0 s, could you argue that the estimated uncertainty from part (a) is not sufficient? Explain.